

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/965,767	09/27/2001	Pieter Theunis de Leeuw	9424.161US01	3418
23552	7590 11/14/2002			
MERCHANT & GOULD PC		EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			LEO, LEONARD R	
MININEALOL	15, MIN 55402-0905		·	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3743	·
		DATE MAILED: 11/1		!

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	· ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/965,767	DE LEEUW, PIETER THEUNIS			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Leonard R. Leo	3743			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
	Period for Reply					
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r earne	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	The standard Clades					
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)□	·—	is action is non-final.	recognition as to the merits is			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	\$53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
4) 🛛	Claim(s) $\underline{1-5}$ is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)⊠ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						
.S. Patent and T	rademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/965,767

Art Unit: 3743

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Specification

A substitute specification with the claims is required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.125(a) because the facsimile is illegible for printing.

A substitute specification filed under 37 CFR 1.125(a) must only contain subject matter from the original specification and any previously entered amendment under 37 CFR 1.121. If the substitute specification contains additional subject matter not of record, the substitute specification must be filed under 37 CFR 1.125(b) and must be accompanied by: 1) a statement that the substitute specification contains no new matter; and 2) a marked-up copy showing the amendments to be made via the substitute specification relative to the specification at the time the substitute specification is filed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claim is indefinite. As understood, the claim is believed to be reciting a subcombination of the combination claim 1.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/965,767

Art Unit: 3743

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Gardner.

Claims 1-2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Vollhardt.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gardner or Vollhardt in view of Kessler.

Gardner or Vollhardt discloses all the claimed limitations except detachable plugs.

Kessler discloses a heat exchanger (Figures 5-7) comprising supply and discharge channels 33 connected a plurality of tube bores 31, and detachable plugs 32 for the purpose of ease of maintenance and assembly.

Since Gardner or Vollhardt and Kessler are both from the same field of endeavor and/or analogous art, the purpose disclosed by Kessler would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Gardner or Vollhardt.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to employ in Gardner or Vollhardt detachable plugs for the purpose of ease of maintenance and assembly as recognized by Kessler.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry of a general nature, relating to the status of this application or clerical nature (i.e. missing or incomplete references, missing or incomplete Office actions or forms) should be directed to the Technology Center 3700 Customer Service whose telephone number is (703) 306-5648.

Any inquiry concerning this Office action should be directed to Leonard R. Leo whose telephone number is (703) 308-2611.

LEONARD R. LEO PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3743

Jeonal " Jeo

November 13, 2002